

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/764,783	RODRIGUEZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jehanne S Sitton	1634	

All Participants:

(1) Jehanne S Sitton.

(2) Natalie Lawrence.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Jehanne S Sitton
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner asked whether Applicants had received an Examiner's Answer as none could be found in the case. Ms. Lawrence indicated that no Examiner's Answer had been received. The examiner asked if a return receipt postcard had been received regarding the Notice of Appeal filed 10/28/2002. Ms. Lawrence indicated that the return post card was received. The examiner asked that the return receipt post card and a copy of the Notice of Appeal be faxed to the examiner. .